## MEMORANDUM

TO: Dave Barry, Provost

FROM: William F. Unsoeld

Chairman, March Evaluation DTF

RE: Status Report on March Evaluation Efforts

Once the three-day March evaluation moratorium had been cancelled by the Coordinators' Breakfast, our DTF settled on an interim evaluation procedure consisting of three aspects:

 A program evaluation questionnaire to be filled out by each of the program teams. It was expected that these documents would be assembled by the alpha, beta, and gamma groups, with the complete collection being eventually circulated to all faculty members.

The gamma group has their collection of program evaluations ready for distribution at the present time. The alpha group seems to be waiting only for the typing and duplicating to be completed. The beta group has one outstanding program report delaying the completion of their collection.

2. Individual student questionnaires. A subcommittee of our DTF, working closely with members of the Evaluation DTF including Carolyn Dobbs and Lou-Ellen Peffer, put together a student questionnaire suitable for computer analysis. Unfortunately, several programs had already administered their own personal questionnaire forms so the students were negatively biased before the school-wide questionnaire was available to them. Satisfactory returns on the questionnaire might still have been obtained if the coordinators had pushed its application vigorously. They did not. Hence, the very sparse returns tablulated below:

Program	Returned and Recorded	Returned but Not Recorded	Total Returned
Individual in America	59	77	136
Communications and Intelligence	34		34
Individual, Citizen & State	_	19	19
Space, Time and Form		39	39
Human Development		6	6
Causality, Freedom and Chance		21	21
Human Behavior			0
Contemporary American Minorities			0
Environmental Design			0

Dave Barry Page 2 April 12, 1972

Program	Returned and Recorded	Returned but Not Recorded	Total Returned
Evergreen Environment Man and Art Political Ecology			0 0
		Total Overall	255

Chris Herron has taken over the processing of the returned questionnaires. He reports the need for some clerical help to complete the recording of the data available. It is anticipated that some few questionnaires will be still coming in to Chris, but the overall percentage is clearly going to be very small. Possibly the best use of this effort could be as a pre-test for a final instrument which will presumably be submitted to the students during the final evaluation in June.

3. The other apect of the March evaluation was seen as the activation of the already existing machinery and personnel for carrying on such program discussions. The subsequent flurry of activity on the part of alpha, beta, and gamma groups constitutes a satisfactory carrying out of this phase.

WFU:jb

cc: Coordinators

cc: Deans