FINAL REPORT OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY DTF May 24, 1996

DRAFT

INTRODUCTION

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- At its meeting on February 14, 1996, the Board of Trustees affirmed the following principles:
 - The Evergreen State College believes that primary responsibility for the safety
 of the campus and its students, faculty, staff, and guests rests within the
 college community, and that all members of the community share that
 responsibility.
 - The Evergreen State College believes that the goal of a safe campus is best achieved with the assistance of well-trained, well-supervised, appropriatelyequipped officers who are familiar with the campus and with the members of the community, and who are directly accountable to the college.
 - The Evergreen State College accepts responsibility through the Office of Public Safety for patrol of the campus and for intervention in dangerous and/or criminal emergencies up to and including armed intervention, but acknowledges that there may nevertheless be instances in which we may need to call on other law enforcement agencies (such as the Thurston County Sheriff's Office) for assistance and backup.
 - The Evergreen State College realizes that this is generally a safe and welcoming campus and wishes it to remain so, but acknowledges that it does not exist in isolation from the very real pressures and dangers of American society.

From these principles, the Board approved the following:

- that the administration move toward providing limited access to firearms for Public Safety officers by the end of the academic year;
- that the president appoint a multi-constituency DTF to recommend to the
 president by April 12, 1996: a) when, where, and how Public Safety officers
 would have access to firearms, b) training and screening criteria for officers,

- consistent with bargaining unit guidelines, and c) the structure and charge of a permanent community review board that will review Public Safety's decisions to call on an armed response, and every instance in which a Public Safety officer draws or uses a firearm;
 - that the Office of Public Safety proceed immediately with a program of ongoing training for the officers in defensive tactics, sexual harassment prevention and intervention, cultural diversity, sexual assault, blood-borne pathogens, legal updates, emergency vehicle operations, first aid, firearm qualifications, and a special block of training emphasizing the continuum of the use of force;
- that the president report regularly to and consult with the Board of Trustees on
 this transition.

BACKGROUND

34

35

36

37

38

39

42

49

On July 8, 1992, the Board of Trustees authorized the establishment of an unarmed commissioned campus police force and implementation of the TESC Security Core Recommendations. The current Public Safety officers have completed the 440 hours of training or attended the equivalency segment of the Washington State Criminal Justice Law Enforcement Academy; currently all TESC Public Safety officers are fully-commissioned and unarmed police officers.

RESOURCES

- 50 Members of the DTF include Mikko Ambrose (student), Art Costantino (VP for
- 51 Student Affairs sitting in only until the new Public Safety Director arrived on March
- 52 15), Debby Davies (Union Representative), Linda Hohman (Chair, Housing staff),
- 53 Steve Huntsberry (Public Safety Director, beginning March 15), Kimberly Kinchen
- 54 (student), Ricardo Leyva-Puebla (First Peoples' staff), Lee Lyttle (faculty), Neil
- 55 McClanahan (Thurston County Sheriff's Office), Francis Morgan-Gallo (student), Tom
- Rainey (faculty), Tammi Stretch (Public Safety officer), Tom Womeldorff (faculty).
- 57 Suzanne Mager served as a resource from Washington State Department of Labor

and Industry. Dian McKernan and Rita Sevcik took turns providing staff support. 59 Lee Hoemann was a resource for some questions about Standard Operating 60 Procedures (SOP), WACs and RCWs. Other resources were: the TESC Security 61 Core Recommendations (1992); Warrington & Associates, Inc. Report (1992); 62 Confrontational Continuum Use of Force Options Chart; recommendations and 63 research documents submitted by Art Costantino (Vice President for Student Affairs) 64 and Neil McClanahan (Thurston County Sheriff's Office); President Jane Jervis's 65 recommendations to the Board of Trustees; TESC Public Safety Standard Operating Procedures; Washington State Department of Labor and Industry Violations; Thurston 66 67 County Sheriff's Office Policies and Procedures; officer incident response records; 68 and SOPs and Policies and Procedures from other campuses, both in-state and out-69 of-state. **PROCESS** The Limited Arming DTF began meeting on February 23, 1996. We spent the first 72

The Limited Arming DTF began meeting on February 23, 1996. We spent the first several meetings brainstorming, gathering information, and educating ourselves and each other. After hours of reading and discussion we established a list of *goals* we wanted to meet in making our recommendations, these goals include:

Community safety (actual and perceived),

· Safety of officers,

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

- · Safety of intervener,
- · State law compliance,
- · Increase rapport between Public Safety and the community,
- Community control of safety/liability v. outside control (e.g. sheriff),
- Minimize potential escalation of incident(s) (deterrence; community cohesion),
- Community education, responsibility and safety training,

 Officer training (types of weapons used in continuum of force), and Minimize liability.

A significant amount of time was spent focusing on providing the appropriate equipment to: assure safe working conditions for our safety officers and community; meet expectations for protecting our community and our officers, and; comply with directives resulting from violations issued by Labor and Industries.

We discussed at length the difference between campus community policing and city, county, and state policing. The "Continuum of Force" chart (used by all Washington State officer training academies) was a major tool we used during our deliberations; it describes options officers are required to use (in order of increasing force) before resorting to the use of deadly force. We based our recommendations on the following continuum of force: uniformed or identifiable police officer presence—verbal interactions—conflict resolutions (mediation skills, verbal judo, issuing of a command—physical touching (guiding, escorting)—verbal warning of next step (when possible)—aerosol subject restraint—active pain compliance alternatives (pressure points, counter joint techniques, hair techniques)—verbal warning of next step (when possible)—impact weapons (baton, others)—warning of next step (when possible)—threat of deadly force—deadly force (consistent with deadly force policy).

Two meetings were canceled during spring break due to lack of a quorum; on March 28 the deadline to complete our work was extended to May 3; the April 5 meeting was closed to the public, by majority vote, in order for the DTF members to have candid discussions about the arming issue; the meetings were re-opened to the public on April 12; on April 19 the completion deadline was again extended, this time to May 24; an open forum for the community to review a draft and comment on the DTF recommendations was held May 8, from 12:30 to 2:00 pm, on the second floor of the CAB; feedback was accepted from May 6 to May 15. Community input was reviewed and considered by the DTF; the final recommendation was presented to the

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY DTF

Before any of our Public Safety Officers are armed, he/she must be fully commissioned and have obtained additional training in the use of firearms as specified by TO BE RESOLVED BY DTF Before any arms are used on campus all officers must complete additional training reinforcing the minimum use of force as specified by TO BE RESOLVED BY DTF. The Director of Public Safety is responsible for assuring that all training specified in these recommendations (initial and on-going) is completed by all Public Safety Officers.

- I. General Public Safety operating recommendations:
 - A. The department will emphasize service and problem-solving.

 "Community policing" practices are paramount: foot patrol, bicycle
 patrol, and other means that facilitate face-to-face communication in all
 areas and at all levels of the community.
 - B. There will be a minimum of two patrolling officers and one emergency communications operator on-duty at all times. Administrative and supervisory staff are not considered patrolling officers unless they are patrolling.
 - C. Because the presence of a uniformed officer is a known deterrent, officers will be uniformed according to Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs).
 - D. When providing mutual support to other police agencies, at least one officer will remain as primary campus responder. Public Safety will avoid providing support to other agencies when doing so compromises adequate Public Safety staffing on campus.
 - E. The rationale is to have mobile offices. Officers are encouraged to patrol by bike or foot and still have access to quick armed response [TO BE DEFINED BY THE DTF -- I.E. HOW MUCH TIME AND/OR HOW MUCH DISTANCE FROM VEHICLE ???] by patrol vehicle.
 - 1. There will be at least two patrol vehicles.

					Page 7
143			2.	To in	crease officer accessibility and presence, patrol vehicles will
144				be ed	quipped with a weapon lock-box, bicycle and bike rack.
145			3.	Patro	Illing officers will base their operations from a patrol vehicle.
146		F.	Secu	re stor	age for weapons will be located in all Public Safety offices.
147	II.	How,	where	, and v	when officers will access firearms:
148		A.	Durin	ng bus	iness hours, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, officers will have
149			acce	ss to t	heir firearm secured in their vehicle.TO BE CLARIFIED
150			BY D	TF	
151			1.	Firea	rms may be accessed in accordance with SOP when
152				a)	Protecting people who are transferring money or valuable
153					property while they are performing official functions for the
154					college.
155				b.	Protecting/escorting people whose presence may present a
10					security hazard to the themselves or to the community.
157				c)	Responding to potentially dangerous situations which
158					include, but are not limited to, homicide, aggravated
159					assault, kidnapping, rape, burglary, robbery, riot,
160					prowler/suspicious person, domestic violence, suicide (with
161					weapon), vehicle stops, narcotics (felony), and all physical
162					arrest situations.
163			2.	Firea	rms are to be worn visibly when providing backup for other
164				law e	inforcement agencies.
165		B.	Durir	ng nigl	nt hours, 6:00 PM to 8:00 AM, officers will visibly wear
166			their	firearr	n continuously with the following exceptions. TO BE
167			CLA	RIFIED	BY DTF
168			1.	Office	ers may temporarily remove and secure their firearm at their
169				discre	etion.
170			2.	Durin	g pubic relations visits, officers are encouraged to remove
1				and s	secure their firearm (for example a pre-party visit to a

172			Housi	ing resident by Public Safety Officer and a Housing Resident
173			Assis	tant, as is the current practice).
174	C.	Durin	g non	-business day hours, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, on weekends
175		and h	noliday	s, has not been decided by the DTF. We are seeking
176		comr	nunity	input before deciding about these hours. TO BE
177		CLAF	RIFIED	BY DTF
178		1.	Some	arguments in favor of continuous arming during these
179			hours	are:
180			a)	the level of patrolling by officers is similar to the patrolling
181				during night hours, and
182			b)	the number of community members on campus to provide
183				citizen intervention is fewer.
184		2.	Some	arguments against continuous arming during these hours
185			are:	
186			a)	statistics indicate low incidents of crime requiring armed
187				response, and
188			b)	there would be consistency in the hours of armed
189				patrolling.
190	D.	When	patroll	ing areas distant from their vehicles, officers will visibly
191		wear	their fir	earm.
192	E.	Recor	nmend	ations for campus SOP:
193		1.	Office	rs may unholster and use a firearm only when the officer
194			reaso	nably believes there is an imminent threat of grievous bodily
195			harm	or death to himself or to another.
196		2.	Office	rs may not draw or fire their weapon to effect an arrest or
197			gain c	ompliance, unless, in their best judgment there is a
198			potent	ial life-threatening situation.
199		3.	Office	rs may not discharge their weapon merely for suspicion.
200		4.	Firing	warning shots is prohibited.
201		5.	Firing	at a dangerous animal is permitted for self-defense or the

				Page 9
202		20		defense of others.
203			6.	Shooting a wounded animal to end suffering is prohibited.
204			7.	Drawing a firearm as a show of force is permitted when an officer
205				is confronted with the threat of deadly force by an assailant.
206			8.	Officers may not surrender their firearm under any circumstances.
207			9.	On-duty officers may use only college approved or issued
208				firearms.
209			10.	Officers may not carry college issued firearms while off duty.
210			11.	Supervisory staff, director and lieutenants, may carry a weapon at
211				their discretion.
212	III.	Traini	ing in a	addition to the full law enforcement academy (440 hours) is
213		mand	atory.	Additional training needs to be continuing, substantive, and must
214		total a	a minin	num of 40 hours annually (+ denotes joint training with Housing
215		Resid	lential I	Life Staff).
2		A.	Huma	an Relations training includes:
217			1.	+ Anti-oppression,
218			2.	+ Intercultural awareness,
219			3.	+ Sexual assault sensitivity,
220			4.	+ Adolescent psychology/student development theory, and
221			5.	+ Working with chemically impaired persons.
222		B.	Comr	nunications training includes:
223			1.	+ Mediation and conflict resolution,
224			2.	+ Obtaining voluntary compliance from uncooperative people,
225			3.	+ Verbal tactics for dealing with difficult people,
226			4.	Negotiation skills, and
227			5.	Hostage survival.
228		C.	Unarr	ned officer safety includes:
229			1.	Attack recognition,
230			2.	Empty-hand control techniques,
2			3.	Self defense, and

232			4.	Non-violent intervention techniques.
233		D.	Com	munity interaction includes:
234			1.	Informal community interaction and
235			2.	Apprenticeship with experienced officer.
236		E.	Wea	pons use and qualifications includes:
237			1.	Annual impact weapon training,
238			2.	Quarterly day and night firearms qualification, and
239			3.	Periodic field training officer session with Thurston County
240				Sheriff's Office or Olympia Police Department.
241	IV.	Firea	rms R	eview Board:
242		A.	The	Firearms Review Board will be changed to the Deadly Force
243			Revi	ew Board (DFRB) and will be referred to as such.
244		B.	The	vice president in charge of Public Safety is responsible for charging,
245			traini	ing, and convening the DFRB.
246		C.	DFR	B is to review:
247			1.	All incidents when a firearm is drawn, discharged, or displayed
248				in a threatening manner (improper display) or when any other
249				deadly force is threatened or used by a Public Safety Officer, and
250			2.	All incidents when a firearm is drawn, discharged, or displayed
251				in a threatening manner, or when any other deadly force is
252				threatened or used by any other individual on campus.
253		D. ,	The I	board will be diverse in its membership and have:
254			1.	Two faculty (one day and one night preferred),
255			2.	Two students (one day and one night or one on-campus and one
256				off-campus preferred),
257			3.	Two staff (one union selected and one exempt staff preferred),
258			4.	One peer Public Safety Officer (not an advocate), and
259			5.	One outside law enforcement representative.
260		E.	The b	poard will determine if the incident was:
261			1.	Accidental or intentional,

			Page 11
20			2. Justified and necessary under the circumstances,
263			3. In compliance with college policy, and
264			4. Indicative of a need for a change in college policy regarding
265			training and/or SOP.
266		F.	The board will determine if the response was handled in an appropriate
267			and timely manner.
268		G.	The board is fact finding and advisory to the Director of Public Safety
269			and the TESC Community.
270		H.	The board will be convened by the vice president as soon as possible
271			and no later than thirty days after the incident.
272		1.	The board will be a permanent committee.
273			1. Members of the board will be appointed for two years with half
274			the board being replaced each year.
275			Members will be trained annually.
2-3	V.	A bod	y other than the DFRB, existing or to be established, needs to examine
277		overa	Il community safety.
278		A.	Primary responsibility will be crime review and crime prevention.
279		B.	Quarterly this body will review Public Safety and DFRB reports and
280			make recommendations to the community and president about
281			improving community safety including further recommendations
282			concerning arming.
283		C.	This body will address issues of personal and community safety.
284		D.	This body will collaborate with other campus entities to offer educational
285			programs for all community members, including personal safety, self-
286			defense training, first aid, and CPR training.
287	VI.	Other	recommendations:
288		Α.	Public Safety officers should be trained in providing community training
289			and education for personal safety, sexual assault, and crime prevention.
290		B.	The Public Safety Department should have an ACCESS terminal for
2			these information systems: Washington Crime Information Center

292		(WACIC), Washington State Information Center (WASIC), and National
293		Crime Information Center (NCIC).
294	C.	The Public Safety Department needs to increase communications with
295		Thurston County Sheriff's Office by providing a scanner for college
296		frequencies.
297	psdtf.4	