

Association of Schools of Public Health

Commentary on Lanphear et al. Pediatric Lead Poisoning: Is There a Threshold?

Author(s): Philip J. Landrigan

Source: *Public Health Reports (1974-)*, Vol. 115, No. 6 (Nov. - Dec., 2000), pp. 530-531

Published by: Association of Schools of Public Health

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4598587>

Accessed: 24/12/2008 14:50

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=asph>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Association of Schools of Public Health is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Public Health Reports (1974-)*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

PHILIP J. LANDRIGAN, MD MSc

Pediatric Lead Poisoning: Is There a Threshold?

A recurrent theme in research on childhood lead poisoning has been the discovery time and again over the past 40 years that lead is toxic to the developing nervous system at levels previously thought to be safe.¹ In the 1960s an elevated pediatric lead level was defined as a concentration in whole blood of ≥ 60 micrograms per deciliter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$). The effect of lead on children was viewed at that time as a simple either/or phenomenon: either a child was clinically poisoned, or the child was well. There was no in-between. Then, beginning in the 1970s with the work of Herbert Needleman and others, the recognition grew that lead could reduce children's intelligence and alter behavior at blood lead levels (BLLs) lower than $60 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$, that is, at levels insufficient to produce clinically obvious symptoms.²⁻⁴ Thus was born the concept of "subclinical lead poisoning," based on an understanding that lead produces a spectrum of toxicity in which clinical symptoms such as encephalopathy, renal failure, and anemia have their subclinical counterparts in lowered intelligence, impaired function of the renal tubules, and elevated levels of erythrocyte protoporphyrin.⁵

Continued exploration of subclinical lead poisoning using stronger study designs and sharper analytic tools has continued to show that lead is toxic to children at even lower levels. As these data came in, the US Public Health Service repeatedly reduced the level of lead in blood that defined childhood lead poisoning. Thus in 1971 the level was reduced to $40 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$, in 1978 it was reduced to $30 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$, and in 1985, it was reduced to $25 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$. Most recently, in 1991, the level was reduced to $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$.⁶ This last reduction followed the demonstration in multiple prospective epidemiologic studies that prenatal exposures to lead could have adverse effects on neurobehavioral development in young children at BLLs as low as $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ to $20 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$.⁷⁻¹⁰

Now, in this issue of *Public Health Reports*, the report by Lanphear and colleagues suggests that lead may exert toxicity at concentrations in

Dr. Landrigan is the Ethel H. Wise
Professor and Chair of the Department of
Community and Preventive Medicine and
Professor of Pediatrics, Mount Sinai
School of Medicine, New York, New
York.

Address correspondence to:

Dr. Landrigan, Dept. of Community and Preventive Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, One Gustave Levy Place, New York, NY 10029; tel. 212-241-6173; fax 212-996-0407; e-mail <phil.landrigan@mssm.edu>.

whole blood lower than 5.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$.¹¹ This conclusion is based on an analysis of data from more than 4,800 children ages 6 to 16 years who participated in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Correlations were examined between blood lead concentration and performance on tests of mathematical skills, reading ability, visual-motor skills, and short-term memory. An inverse relationship between blood lead and math and reading scores was noted in children at blood lead concentrations $<5.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$. The relationship was still evident after adjustment for an extensive series of potential confounding factors.

These are extremely important findings. While they will require replication before they can become the basis for public health action, they most certainly erode any complacency with the notion that a BLL of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ constitutes a bright line below which pediatricians need not worry about lead-induced brain injury in young children.

These findings suggest that it may be necessary to revisit the decision on the part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) not to require universal

blood lead screening of young children in the United States. The findings of Lanphear et al. also call into question the wisdom of deferring public health intervention in children until BLLs reach 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ or 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$, a practice that has been adopted in many health departments given their limited resources.

The conquest of lead poisoning in the United States over the past 25 years represents a major triumph of pediatrics and public health. The removal of lead from gasoline, the removal of lead from new paint, and the banning of lead from tin cans are actions that have profoundly improved the health of children in this country. From 1976 to 1996, the mean BLL was reduced by more than 90%.¹² However, nearly one million American children still have BLLs of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ and higher, and millions more have levels in the 2.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ to 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ range.¹² The finding that lead is toxic to children at even exquisitely low levels requires that we retain our vigilance. Lanphear et al.'s findings suggest that there is no safe threshold for the toxicity of lead in the central nervous system.

References

1. Waldron HA, Stofen D. Subclinical lead poisoning. London: Academic Press; 1974.
2. Needleman HL, Davidson I, Sewell EM, Shapiro IM. Subclinical lead exposure in Philadelphia school children: identification by dentine lead analysis. *N Engl J Med* 1974;290:245-8.
3. Needleman HL, Gunnoe C, Leviton A, Reed R, Peresie H, Maher C, Barrett P. Deficits in psychologic and classroom performance of children with elevated dentine lead levels. *N Engl J Med* 1979;300:689-95.
4. Needleman HL, Leviton A, Bellinger D. Lead-associated intellectual deficit. *N Engl J Med* 1982;306:367.
5. Landrigan PJ. Toxicity of lead at low dose. *Br J Ind Med* 1989;46:593-6.
6. Centers for Disease Control (US). Preventing lead poisoning in young children. Atlanta (GA): Department of Health and Human Services (US); 1991.
7. Bellinger D, Leviton A, Waternaux C, Needleman HL, Rabinowitz M. Longitudinal analyses of prenatal and postnatal lead exposure and early cognitive development. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:1037-43.
8. McMichael AJ, Baghurst PA, Wigg NR, Vimpani GV, Robertson EF, Roberts RJ. Port Pirie Cohort Study: environmental exposure to lead and children's abilities at the age of four years. *N Engl J Med* 1988;319:468-475.
9. Dietrich KN, Succop PA, Berger O, Hammond PB, Bornschein RL. Lead exposure and the cognitive development of urban preschool children: the Cincinnati Lead Study cohort at age 4 years. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 1991;13:203-11.
10. Wasserman GA, Liu X, Lolocono NJ, Factor-Litvak P, Kline JK, Popovac D, et al. Lead exposure and intelligence in 7-year-old children: the Yugoslavia Prospective Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 1997;105:956-62.
11. Lanphear BP, Dietrich K, Auinger P, Cox C. Cognitive deficits associated with blood lead concentrations $<10 \mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ in US children and adolescents. *Public Health Rep* 2000;115:521-9.
12. Update: blood lead levels—United States, 1991–1994. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1997;46:141-6. ■