The Final Chapter

Kathleen M. Saul May 17, 2011

Clarification: Multiple Regression

- R returns both a <u>multiple</u> r² and an <u>adjusted</u> r² for regression models
 - For simple linear regression (one independent variable), use the multiple r² value to determine the percent of variation in y explained by the model.
 - For multiple regression, use the adjusted value of r². It takes into account the number of independent variables in your model as well as the number of observations to yield a more accurate value of r².

Last Week: Two Way ANOVA

Original data take the form of a table:

| | | Factor | | |
|----------|---|--------|---|---|
| | | Α | В | C |
| | X | | | |
| | Y | | | |
| Factor B | Z | | | |

- Hypotheses:
 - For the columns:

Ho: muA = muB = muC . . .

Ha: At least two means differ

For the rows (Groups or Blocks):

Ho: muX = muY = muZ . .

Ha: At least two means differ

- In R: anova(lm(measure~column+row)
- If the p-value for rows or for columns < alpha, perform pairwise comparisons to determine which pairs differ
 - pairwise.t.test(measure,column,
 - + p.adj="bonferroni"), Or
 - pairwise.t.test(measure,row,p.adj="bonferroni")

Factorial ANOVA

- Data take the form of a table, with multiple measures for each combination of Hypotheses:
 - For the columns:
 - Ho: muA = muB = muC . . .
 - Ha: At least two means differ
 - For the rows (Groups or Blocks):
 - Ho: muX = muY = muZ . .
 - Ha: At least two means differ

- For the interactions:
 - Ho: The column and row factors do not interact to affect the mean responses
 - Ha: The column and row factors do interact to affect the mean responses
- In R: anova(Im(measure~factorA+factorB+factorA*factorB)
- If the p-value for rows or columns< alpha, perform pairwise comparisons to determine which pairs differ

What if my table contains <u>counts</u> and not <u>measurements</u>?

Example: What if we had the count of the number of trees harvested in given county, in a given year?

| | Douglas Fir | Western Hemlock | Cedars | Other Conifer | Red Alder | Other Hardwood |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|-----------|----------------|
| Forest Industry | 10109 | 12254 | 1998 | 2866 | 3352 | 501 |
| Private Large | 10640 | 20622 | 1300 | 2499 | 2687 | 740 |
| Private Small | 3640 | 4128 | 822 | 10132 | 1557 | 2365 |
| State | 21984 | 19235 | 5495 | 3295 | 3845 | 1100 |
| National Forest | 1440 | 2693 | 167 | 737 | 117 | 0 |



Adapted from DNR harvest data, 2000

Use Chi Squared! X²

- \blacksquare X² = Σ {(observed expected)² / expected}
 - Expected value = (<u>column total</u> * <u>row total</u>) sample size
- $\blacksquare df = (rows 1) * (columns 1)$
- Hypotheses:
 - Ho: The two variables are independent
 - Ha: The two variables are not independent (one affects the other)

■ Transform the data by removing the column and row headings (but remember what they are!):

| 10109 | 12254 | 1998 | 2866 | 3352 | 501 |
|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|
| 10640 | 20622 | 1300 | 2499 | 2687 | 740 |
| 3640 | 4128 | 822 | 10132 | 1557 | 2365 |
| 21984 | 19235 | 5495 | 3295 | 3845 | 1100 |
| 1440 | 2693 | 167 | 737 | 117 | 0 |

In R: Read in the data and assign the column and row names:

```
> cut<-read.csv("Timber.csv",sep=",",header=F)
> colnames(cut) <-
c("DougFir","Hemlock","Cedar","OtherFir","Alder","OtherHard")
> rownames(cut)<-
c("Industry","LgPriv","SmPriv","State","NatlFor")</pre>
```

| · oat | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| | DougFir | Hemlock | Cedar | OtherFir | Alder | OtherHard |
| Industry | 10109 | 12254 | 1998 | 2866 | 3352 | 501 |
| LgPriv | 10640 | 20622 | 1300 | 2499 | 2687 | 740 |
| SmPriv | 3640 | 4128 | 822 | 10132 | 1557 | 2365 |
| State | 21984 | 19235 | 5495 | 329 | 3845 | 1100 |
| NatlFor | 1440 | 2693 | 167 | 737 | 117 | 0 |

> cut

> chisq.test(cut)

Pearson's Chi-squared test

data: cut

X-squared = 37214.60, df = 20, p-value < 2.2e-16
$$(6-1)*(5-1)$$

■ Since the p-value < alpha = 0.05, we reject the null that there is no association between the two variables

- Now we look at the pairwise X² values
- First, calculate the expected values:

> chisq.test(cut)\$expected

| | DougFir | Hemlock | Cedar | OtherFir | Alder | OtherHard |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Industry | 9755.961 | 12024.728 | 1995.9596 | 3984.7776 | 2358.3419 | 960.2316 |
| LgPriv | 12081.321 | 14890.854 | 2471.7018 | 4934.5598 | 2920.4589 | 1189.1054 |
| SmPriv | 7107.915 | 8760.873 | 1454.1991 | 2903.1951 | 1718.2205 | 699.5973 |
| State | 17249.971 | 21261.483 | 3529.1493 | 7045.6714 | 4169.8945 | 1697.8304 |
| NatlFor | 1617.832 | 1994.062 | 330.9902 | 660.7961 | 391.0841 | 159.2353 |

Next, recall the observed values:

> chi sq.test(cut)\$observed

| • | DougFir | Hemlock | Cedar | OtherFir | Alder | OtherHard |
|----------|---------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Industry | 10109 | 12254 | 1998 | 2866 | 3352 | 501 |
| LgPriv | 10640 | 20622 | 1300 | 2499 | 2687 | 740 |
| SmPriv | 3640 | 4128 | 822 | 10132 | 1557 | 2365 |
| State | 21984 | 19235 | 5495 | 3295 | 3845 | 1100 |
| NatlFor | 1440 | 2693 | 167 | 737 | 117 | 0 |

Calculate the contribution to the overall X² statistic for each cell:

- > E<-chisq.test(cut)\$expected
- > O<-chisq.test(cut)\$observed
- > (O-E)*(O-E)/E

| | DougFir | Hemlock | Cedar | OtherFir | Alder | OtherHard |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Industry | (12.78) | 4.37 | (2.0914e-03) | 314.11 | 418.67 | 219.63 |
| LgPriv | 171.95 | 2205.79 | 5.55e+02 | 1202.13 | 18.66 | 169.62 |
| SmPriv | 1691.98 | 2449.93 | 2.75e+02 | 17999.35 | 15.13 | 3964.52 |
| State | 1299.19 | 193.15 | 1.10e+03 | 1996.62 | 25.31 | 210.50 |
| NatlFor | 19.5 | 244.98 | 8.13e+01 | (8.79) | 192.09 | 159.24 |

Cells with low contributions warrant further investigation.

Approach to Data Analyses

- Look at the format of the raw data, think about what tests might be appropriate:
 - Do you have columns? How many?
 - One → z test (given sigma) or t test (given s)
 - Two → t test of independent samples or matched pairs t test
 - Three or more → ANOVA
 - Is it a table? Are the values in the table numeric or categorical?
 - Numeric → ANOVA
 - Categorical → X²
 - Do you have cause and effect data?
 - → Regression

- Plot the data
- For numeric data:
 - Histogram
 - For a single numeric variable
 - In R: hist(x,freq=F) curve(dnorm(x),add=T)
 - Normal Quartile/Quantile plot
 - In R: qqnorm(x) qqline(x)
 - Are the data normally distributed? If not, would a transformation result in a normal distribution?
 - Most statistical tests assume an underlying normal population and thus, a normally distributed sample.

For categorical data:

- Box plot
 - For categorical variables
 - In R: boxplot(x)
 - Or, for parallel plots: boxplot(a,b)
- Look for the presence of outliers and for the relative positions of medians and quartiles

Generate Descriptive Statistics

- Mean = the arithmetic average
 - For a single variable/vector, in R: mean(x)
 - For one variable of a matrix, in R: mean(name\$x)
- Median = the midpoint of ordered data
 - In R: median(x) or median(name\$x)
- Standard Deviation = the variation around the mean
 - In R: sd(x) or sd(name\$x)
- Summary
 - In R: summary(dataframe)

- Establish the hypotheses to be tested with your data (Ho and Ha)
- Run the tests to generate the statistics and associated p-values
 - If the p-value is less than your alpha, reject the null hypothesis
- R commands:
 - One sample t test:
 - t.test(y,mu=value)
 - T test of two independent samples:
 - t.test(a,b)

- Paired two sample t test:
 - t.test(a,b,paired=T)
- Simple Linear Regression:
 - Im(y~x)
- Multiple Regression:
 - Im(y~a+b+c+ . . . +a*b*c* . . .)
- One Way ANOVA:
 - anova(Im(measure~category)
- Two Way ANOVA:
 - anova(Im(measure~category+category))

- Factorial ANOVA:
 - anova(lm(measure~a+b+c+a*b*c))
- Chi Squared test:
 - chisq.test(table)
- Interpret the results!
 - What does rejecting or not rejecting Ho tell you about your research question?

Questions?

