Stilson

FACULTY MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, June 1, 1971 Faculty Conference Room, 1:30 p.m.

- 1. Provost's Report
- 2. Report on Admissions--Joe Shoben
- 3. Some Rebuttals--Dean Clabaugh
- 4. The Faculty Handbook
 - a. Ranks and Titles--Willi Unsoeld
 - b. The Revised Standard Edition -- Merv Cadwallader

Stilson

FACULTY MEETING MINUTES

June 1, 1971

MODERATOR-Will Humphreys RECORDER -Richard Jones

1. PROVOST'S REPORT

There was none.

2. DEAN CLABAUGH REPORTED ON THE ADDITIONAL HOUSING DECISION.

The main issue here the not unfamiliar one of having no history to guide us. As recently as a month and a half ago the most educated working estimate of the number of living units it would be necessary for the college to supply in the first year was 350. As of now we have approximately 650 housing deposits in hand from incoming students. A list of options was then considered against the background of Clabaugh's basic assumption, which no one at this meeting disputed that Evergreen's most important objective in its first year is to meet its enrollment date. If we do not meet this mandate we lose money. If we lose money in the first year, our bird don't fly. One option was to cut off the college's housing committments to students, at the figure established by our permanent housing capacity. Thus requiring approximately 600 students to find housing in the community on their own. The work done in John Finley's office and by ECCO indicates that the community could not absorb that many students. Another option was for the college to arrange for student housing through large apartment complexes in town. The problems here are that none are big enough and that the owners with the option was discussed wanted unacceptible committments from the college. A third option was to lease the old St. Peter Hospital. But the State Department of General Administration has put earnest money down on this building for its rental in the future. Besides this building would provide very poor living conditions. Still another option was to accelerate experimental housing, not as experimental housing but as an answer to our immediately pressing housing needs. Even so there would be insufficient time and we might only succeed in undermining experimental housing as a future educational and economic venture.

All of these options were rejected. There then seemed no choice but temporary housing, the only option being whether this should consist of trailers or modular units. Both last about the same amount of time, cost about the same, and are both mobile. On both we could expect to break even in about 5 years. Trailers are uglier. Therefore we decided to go with the modular units.

<u>Sluss</u>: Why do we have to break even? <u>Answer</u>: We don't and we will work hard to get rid of them as soon as possible but it is not likely that we will see their departure in a few years. It is true that the necessity to keep these structures does not necessarily follow from the necessity to build them in the first place but once built it is not likely that other financial considerations will allow us to take a substantial loss in our initial investment in them. Sluss: How about locating the modular units where a permanent building is being planned?

Answer: They will be located where the Turn-Key Project was planned and may still be built or where some other form of permanent housing will be built.

Youtz: Won't we be faced with the same necessity to build temporary housing every year for the same reasons we were forced to do so this year?

Answer: That is certainly true to next year but it is not unreasonable to assume that by 1973 high density dwellings within walking distance from the campus will start to be developed by private investors. Three factors have kept private developers moving in this direction until now and will continue to do so through the next year or so. First the sever and the water problem. Only recently an agreement had been reached between Evergreen and the City of Olympia whereby private developers may use Evergreen's facilities within necessary limitations until additional sewer and water facilities are built by the City of Olympia. Second the zoning problem. A committee representing the County Planning Commission, the college and the college's neighbors are now planning long range zoning schemes which it is in the ultimate best interest of all not too rush. Thirdly there has been the natural conservatism smong the private developers about investing large sums of money before there was clear indication of need. The recent figures regarding housing needs of Evergreen students should have dispelled these attitudes by now.

Sluss: I assume that the site upon which the modular units will be placed is still negotiable since the environment committee has not yet been consulted. The committee was consulted when the Turn-Key Project was being considered. But not when the modular unit plan was being considered

From the interchange that followed it might be inferred that there is not yet a complete meeting of minds as regards how the Vice President for Business, the Office for Facilities Planning and the Faculty Environment Committee should be perceiving each other. This inference was not lost on our moderator who later instructed the faculty environment committee to clarify these misperceptions or unperceptions and to make a report to the faculty concerning these matters.

Barnard: How about private support facilities? Groceries, etc. Will the modular units have cooking facilities? Answers: Yes the modular units will have cooking facilities. Yes, we will have a grocery store and vending machines as part of our contracted food service package.

<u>Aldridge:</u> Will the food services and book store be conducted as profit making ventures or as cooperatives? <u>Answer:</u> The book store and food services are separate operations. The book store is being opened on the basis of a \$60,000. State Appropriation and borrowed funds. For the first three years the book store must make enough profit to repay the loan. Thereafter it should either break even or earn profits for some agreed upon institutional benefit. The food service is not our own but it is contracted for ARA Slater. We can cancel this contract after the first year. The contract is designed to make a profit for the college. No committments have yet been made against this profit.

Youtz: In the interest of keeping as many students as possible happy regarding their housing, if the modular units are going to be less attractive than those advertised in the catalogue, will the students be notified and will there be a rent differential? <u>Answer:</u> The <u>interior</u> of the modular units will be as attractive as those described in the catalogue. The exterior of these units is likely to be comparable to track houses. There will be no rent differential. The modular units will rent at the same rate as the four person units described in the catalogue.

Youtz: In the same interest what apparatus will we have set up by fall which will allow those students who have already indicated and interest in being involved in experimental housing to supply some initiative in this direction so as not to invite the criticism that we were able to respond to the housing emergency with modular housing units but were not able to respond to their earlier requests that we consider experimental housing.

Aldridge reported that the experimental housing group has met and decided that a section of the Environmental Design Coordinated Studies Program would be devoted to helping plan Evergreen's experimental housing venture and that these students might opt for the Environmental Design Program.

Youtz not satisfied with this wants to see something stronger with more administrative muscle behind it.

3. A REPORT BY SHOBEN

Wishes to postpone a final report on Admissions until after June 7 when those out-of-state students whose tuition has been doubled since their enrollment will have had a chance to indicate whether or not they still plan to come. Indications so far are that the tuition increase will make little difference. The best guess now is that we will be over-subscribed in the Fall, in line with our primary objective to get our .bird off the ground in a way conducive to flying, but not seriously except that perhaps for part-time students. In any event lets wait until the next faculty meeting for more firm figures.

As regards to campus security there are still weaknesses but not so many as the May 24 faculty minutes indicated. We will have one full time security man plus as many student deputies as we can afford plus possible student volunteers. As regard to the protection of things the security operation is responsible to Don Parry. As regards to the protection of people the security operation is responsible to Joe Shoben. This division of responsibility is not ideal but it is made necessary by budgetary limitations.

Aldridge: Do the job specifications for the security still carry references to fire arms and is it still for men only? Answer: Neither.

4. THE FACULTY HANDBOOK

The recommendations of Willi Unsoeld's DTF regarding ranks and titles was approved. The appropriate faculty handbook entry will reflect these approved recommendations.

Cadwallader asked for additions, changes, etc. from the faculty to the current rough copy composed by the faculty handbook DTF. It was agreed that on Page 3 the final sentence on the next to last paragraph should be amended to read as follows: "The official repositories for final guiding policy will be the faculty handbook, the catalogue, and the procedural statements and guidelines of the various administrative desks. "

<u>Question</u>: What about student evaluation and registration <u>Information</u>? <u>Answer</u>: The Student Evaluation and Records DTF is working on this. It is working at a level of detail more appropriately included in an appendix but not in the faculty handbook.

Question: Will there be a revised Table of Organization available for the faculty handbook? <u>Answer</u>: Maybe in time for the June Conference.

Question: Will a code of conduct statement be ready for inclusion in the faculty handbook section on the faculty responsibility? <u>Answer</u>: Maybe in priliminary form for the June Conference. Maybe in final form by mid-summer.

Nebb: Do we need any code of conduct? Shoben: Yes, the law requires it. Crow: Yes, we need one to support our movel objectives .

The meeting ended with everyone patting each other on the back and agreeing there was nothing to be lost by attempting to accomtuate the positive.

Three more cheers for Running Meetings

RMJ:pff