

Week 4 Assignments

Due Tuesday April 19th

Critical Reasoning Assignment **Review:** Ch 4 pp. 99-106; Ch 5 pp. 113-122 **Read:** Ch. 5 pp 123-128.; Ch. 6, p. 143-157 **Submit:** Exercise 4.1, #4, #6, #8, #10; Exercise 4.2 #2, #4, #6 and 10 Exercise 4.3 A2,A4; B2, B4, C#1 b,d,f, C#2 b,d; as well as a **reconstruction and evaluation** of two (2) arguments picked from (3, 4 or 5 above) (**Optional Extra Credit** Exercise 5.1 A8, B2, B4, D4)

Ethics Assignment: **Read** R&R's Ch's 7 and 8 on utilitarianism. **Post** a contractarian (social contract based) analysis of the morality of a choice or behavior from "3:10 to Yuma" This could be a choice you have previously discussed or not. If possible, tie your analysis to the R&R chapter or class discussion. **Post a reply** comment on the submission from at least one of your classmates.

Due Friday April 22th*

Critical Reasoning Assignment: **Read:** Ch 5 pp. 130-136 on Venn diagrams and validity of arguments with quantifiers., Ch. 6 pp 159-173 on fallacies of emotion as well as emotion and resemblance combined and chapter 7 to p. 186. **Submit:; Exercise 4.4** A #2,#4,#6, #8,#10, B2 **Exercise 6.1** A4, A6, A8; **Exercise 5.2** A2, A8; B1, B2, B4, B6, B8

Ethical Reasoning Assignment for **Read R&R** Ch 9 and 10 on Kant and Moral Philosophy **Submit** a short essay about what a utilitarian would say about some choice or decision in *3:10 to Yuma* and if relevant how R & R might respond.

* **Note** that your **Portfolio is now due Tuesday April 26** and should containing at least five (5) items (editorials, letters to editor, opinion pieces, short internet selection, short section from book or longer article, etc); for at least two (2) reconstruct an argument into standard form (with missing, implicit premises or conclusion supplied if necessary); evaluate at least one (1) of those you reconstructed by indicating whether it is valid (using common successful argument patterns or the methods of chapter 4 or 5) and if so whether it is sound by casting doubt, if appropriate, on the premises.